Received: 14 May 2021

Investigating the observance frequency of the requirements for providing dental services during the COVID-19 epidemic in dental offices in Sari, Iran, in 2020

Mohammad Koochak Dezfouli DDS, MSc^{1,2}, Reza Alizadeh-Navaei MD, PhD³, Amirhossein Ajorlou DDS⁴, <u>Maryam Zamanzadeh DDS, MSc^{1,2}</u>

Original Article

Abstract

BACKGROUND AND AIM: Given the nature of the dental profession, the tools and equipment used, and the direct contact with saliva and mucous membranes, it is crucial to observe all infection control principles. Due to the high prevalence and risks of coronavirus, and its novelty, and the sensitivity of dental work, the present study was conducted to investigate the observance frequency of requirements for providing dental services during the COVID-19 epidemic in dental offices in Sari, Iran.

METHODS: In the present study, 181 dentists, including 147 general dentists and 34 dental specialists, in Sari were surveyed. To collect data, the checklist of the Ministry of Health, Treatment, and Medical Education was used. It includes questions about the use of rubber dam, high-pressure suction, appropriate ventilation, air disinfection device, screening and triage of clients, and so on. After completing the checklist and determining the score, the data were statistically analyzed. Descriptive statistics (frequency analysis) and chi-square test were used to analyze the data. The statistical significant level was considered at P < 0.05.

RESULTS: The highest observance frequency of the requirements was related to Requirement 14 (observing the distance between clients' chairs) with a frequency of 97.2%, followed by Requirement 2 (the use of high-pressure suction), Requirement 7 (package of separate sterile disposable devices for each patient), and Requirement 15 (removal of all common devices from the waiting room) with a frequency of 97.0%. The highest observance frequency of preferences was related to Preference 4 (the use of one-way valves in the unit) with a frequency of 96.7%. Regarding employment history, dentists with more than 15 years of employment history observed only the item of waste disposal items in accordance with the health protocol significantly more than dentists with less employment history (P = 0.04).

CONCLUSION: In general, most dentists who participated in this study observed the health protocols to an acceptable level. Dental specialists observed most of the requirements more than general dentists.

KEYWORDS: Dental Health Services; COVID-19; Epidemics

Citation: Koochak Dezfouli M, Alizadeh-Navaei R, Ajorlou A, Zamanzadeh M. **Investigating the observance frequency of the requirements for providing dental services during COVID-19 epidemic in dental offices in Sari, Iran, in 2020.** J Oral Health Oral Epidemiol 2021; Special Issue of COVID-19 (4): 60-9.

oronaviruses are a large family of viruses and a subset of Coronaviridae that range from the common cold virus to agent of more severe diseases such as SARS, MERS, and COVID.^{1,2} Coronaviruses were discovered in

the 1960s.³ The virus is naturally prevalent in mammals and birds, although 7 humantransmitted coronaviruses have been identified so far. The latest type of them was Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which emerged

¹⁻ Assistant Professor, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology, School of Dentistry, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

²⁻ Dental Research Center, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

³⁻ Associate Professor, Gastrointestinal Cancer Research Center, Non-Communicable Diseases Institute, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

⁴⁻ Dentist, Private Practice, Tehran, Iran

Address for correspondence: Maryam Zamanzadeh DDS, MSc; Assistant Professor, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology, School of Dentistry, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran; Email: zamanzadehmaryam@gmail.com

in December 2019 in Wuhan, China. The corona virus soon spread throughout the world.⁴ Their structure has a positive single-stranded RNA genome.⁵ The general and temporary name of 2019-nCoV was initially given to these viruses.⁶ People with co-morbidities and children with heart disease are at higher risk of coronaviruses. The severity of the cold caused bv usually coronavirus is higher.7 Coronaviruses, which are the second leading cause of colds after rhinoviruses, are more likely to cause colds in winter and spring.8 Since there are 3 components of the microorganism, the host and the routes of transmission in the infection transmission cycle, to control the infection, it is necessary to remove one of these three components to prevent the cross-contamination.9

It has been found that SARS-CoV-2 can bind to human receptors of angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 (ACE2). A great number of these receptors are concentrated in the salivary glands that may explain the presence of SARS-CoV-2 in patients with salivary secretion.^{10,11} Thus, COVID-19 can be transmitted through aerosol and droplets formed during dental practices that may lead to the spread of infection in dental clinics.¹² Therefore, dentists should be very careful and take measures such as hand washing to prevent COVID-19. It is also necessary to use personal protective equipment (PPE) and cross-contamination prevention methods when performing dental work, especially when aerosol emissions are high.11,13 Standard PPE consists of gloves, masks, and gowns. However, in the case of airborne infections such as COVID-19, additional equipment including goggles, masks, face shields, gloves, gowns, and rubber boots should be used.¹⁴ Due to the high prevalence and risks of coronavirus and its novelty, and the importance and sensitivity of dental work, the present study was conducted to investigate the requirements for providing dental services during COVID-19 the epidemic in dental offices in Sari, Iran.

Methods

This descriptive-analytical and crosssectional study was conducted on dentists working in Sari, Iran in 2020. The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Mazandaran University of Sciences (Ethical code: Medical IR.MAZUMS.REC.1399.746). A total number of 181 dentists, including 147 general dentists and 34 dental specialists, completed the checklists for observing the requirements for providing dental services during the COVID-19 epidemic in dental clinics. The checklist of the Ministry of Health and Medical Education was used to collect data. The Deputy of the Ministry of Health and Medical Education of Iran presented the executive instruction of dental services on 2020.04.17 during the COVID-19 epidemic and the relevant checklist was sent to inform and monitor its proper implementation in all centers providing dental services. This instruction consists of 2 separate tables. The first table is related to the requirements (mandatory) and the second table is related to the preferences (optional) in reopening dental clinics during the COVID-19 epidemic. In the first table, 17 items and in the second one, 6 items are examined. This checklist includes questions about the use of rubber suction, dam, high-pressure proper ventilation, air disinfection device, screening and triage of clients, and so on.

First, the consent of the participating dentists was obtained and the items were examined with their consent and cooperation. The checklist covers demographic information such as age, gender, general dentist or dental specialist, and history of participation in retraining courses. A trained senior student completed the relevant person clinics. checklist in in After completing the checklist and calculating the score, the data were entered into SPSS software (version 16, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, and statistically analyzed using USA) descriptive tests (frequency analysis) and chi-square test. Statistical significance level was considered at P < 0.05.

Results

In the present study, 181 dental office checklists, including 147 general dentists and 34 dental specialists, were evaluated. Among them, there were 129 (71.3%) men and 52 (28.7%) women, and 118 (65.2%) individuals participated in the infection control retraining course. The employment history of 93 dentists (51.3%) and 88 dentists (48.7%) consisted of less than 15 years (< 15) and 15 years or more (15 \leq), respectively.

Tables 1 and 2 show the observance frequency of the requirements and preferences required for dental services in clinics. According to table 1, the highest observance frequency (97.2%) was related to Requirement 14 (observing the distance between the clients' seats), followed by Requirement 2 (the use of high-pressure suction), Requirement 7 (package of separate sterile disposable devices for each patient), and Requirement 15 (removal of all common devices from the waiting room), all with a frequency of 96.7%.

Tables 3 and 4 show the frequency of observing the health requirements based on studied variables. Regarding the the employment history, only Requirement 6 (disposal of all wastes according to the infectious waste disposal protocol) was significantly more observed among dentists with an employment history more than 15 years (96.6%) than those with less employment history (88.2%) (P = 0.040). Moreover, the observance of Requirement 4 (the use of air disinfection device in the treatment department) (P = 0.030), Requirement 9 (appropriate management of the exit and entry to the laboratory) (P = 0.030), Requirement 10 (observing the time interval of at least 40 minutes between patients' visits) (P = 0.001), Requirement 11 (correct screening and triage of patients according to the instructions) (P = 0.001), and Requirement 12 (installation of triage chart and referral tables for suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patients) (P = 0.010) were significantly higher in dental specialists compared to general dentists.

Table 1.	. Frequency	of observing the	e requirements i	for providing	dental	services in	dental	clinics in S	Sari
----------	-------------	------------------	------------------	---------------	--------	-------------	--------	--------------	------

No.	Title	Yes [n (%)]	No [n (%)]
1	Using rubber dam	174 (96.1)	7 (3.9)
2	Using high-pressure suction	175 (96.7)	6 (3.3)
3	Using strong and suitable ventilation in the whole space of the dental center	172 (95.0)	9 (5.0)
4	Using air disinfection device in the treatment department	2 (1.1)	179 (98.9)
5	Trash bin equipped with pedal or eye sensor	143 (79.0)	38 (21.0)
6	Disposal of all waste	167 (92.3)	14 (7.7)
7	Using all disposable or sterile devices	175 (96.7)	6 (3.3)
8	Disposable turbines	83 (45.9)	98 (54.1)
	Sterile turbine with one-way valve	149 (82.3)	32 (17.7)
	Simple sterile turbine	172 (95.0)	9 (5.0)
9	Suitable management of the exit and entry to the laboratory	155 (85.6)	26 (14.4)
10	Suitable time interval between patients' visits	45 (24.9)	136 (75.1)
11	Screening and triage of clients	45 (24.9)	136 (75.1)
12	Installing triage charts and referral tables for suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patients	144 (79.6)	37 (20.4)
13	Installing educational posters for personal protection of clients	150 (82.9)	31 (17.1)
14	Observing the distance between the clients' seats	176 (97.2)	5 (2.8)
15	Removing all common equipment from the waiting room	175 (96.7)	6 (3.3)
16	Periodic disinfection of all surfaces	149 (82.3)	32 (17.7)
17	Accurate knowledge of the provisions of the instructions	155 (85.6)	26 (14.4)
18	Using appropriate personal protective equipment	172 (95.0)	9 (5.0)

COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019

Table	2. Frequency of observing the preferences for providing dental service	s in dental c	linics of Sari
No	Ti4lo	$V_{00} [m (0/)]$	No $I_{m}(0/)$]

	No.	Title	Yes [n (%)]	No [n (%)]
Ī		Isolation room for airborne infections with negative pressure	1 (0.6)	180 (99.4)
	1	Standard suction and aerosol disinfectant device and use of air conditioner equipped with UVC in the treatment section	1 (0.6)	180 (99.4)
		Standard suction and aerosol disinfection device and use of wall or ceiling UVC lamp in the treatment room	1 (0.6)	180 (99.4)
	2	Digital thermometer	144 (79.6)	37 (20.4)
	3	Pulse oximeter	140 (77.3)	41 (22.7)
	4	Using one-way valves in the unit	175 (96.7)	6 (3.3)
	5	Providing shoe covers, masks, and hand gel to patients before admission	172 (95.0)	9 (5.0)
	6	Using an oxidising mouthwash	145 (80.1)	36 (19.9)
т				

UVC: Ultraviolet C

Regarding the observance of preferences, the highest observance of preferences (96.7%) was related to Preference 4 (the use of oneway valves in the unit), while the lowest (0.6%) was related to the subgroups of Preference 1, including isolation treatment room for air infections with negative pressure, standard suction and aerosol disinfectant device and use of air conditioner equipped with UVC in the treatment section, and standard suction and aerosol disinfection device and use of wall or ceiling UVC lamp in the treatment room. In addition, there was no statistically significant difference between the observance frequency of these preferences in terms of gender, employment history, and participation in infection control retraining courses (P < 0.050).

Most dental specialists observed preferences more than general dentists, although this difference was not statistically significant in any of the items (P > 0.050). The only preference that was observed less by dental specialists (73.5%) than general dentists (81.6%) was Preference 6 (the use of oxidising mouthwashes), but the difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.340).

Discussion

People working in the field of dentistry are highly exposed to coronavirus due to their working conditions, so it is necessary to design appropriate protocols and prevention strategies focusing on the location of patients and members of the dental team.¹⁵ In the present study, the observance frequency of health protocols by general dentists and dental specialists in Sari was evaluated. According to the results of this study, the most observed requirements were related to observing the distance between the clients' seats, followed by the use of high-pressure suction, a package of separate sterile disposable devices for each patient, and removal of all common devices from the waiting room. Furthermore, the lowest observance frequency was related to the use of air disinfection device in the treatment department.

In this study, the highest observance frequency of preferences was related to the preference of using one-way valves in the unit, and the lowest one was related to the subgroups of Preference 1, including isolation treatment room for air infections with negative pressure, standard suction and aerosol disinfectant device and use of air conditioner equipped with UVC in the treatment section, and standard suction and aerosol disinfection device and use of wall or ceiling UVC lamp in the treatment department.

According to the results of this study, male and female dentists observed the requirements and preferences almost at equal levels. Furthermore, in the present study, the observance of requirements and preferences was compared between general dentists and dental specialists, and the observance of requirements such as the use of air disinfection device the in treatment department, suitable management of the exit and entry to the laboratory, observing the appropriate time interval between patients' visits, correct screening and triage of clients according to the instructions and installation of triage charts, and referrals tables for suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patients were significantly higher among dental specialists compared to general dentists.

Requirements	Variables	•	Yes [n (%)]	No [n (%)]	Р
^		Male	124 (96.1)	5 (3.9)	0.00
	Gender	Female	50 (96.2)	2(3.8)	> 0.99
		Yes	113 (95.8)	5 (4.2)	. 0.00
1 D 11 1	Participation in retraining courses	No	61 (96.8)	2(3.2)	> 0.99
I- Rubber dam		< 15	90 (96.8)	3 (3.2)	0.51
	Employment history (years)	> 15	84 (95.5)	4 (4.5)	0.71
		General dentist	dentist $140(952)$ $7(48)$	7 (4.8)	0.05
	Specialty	Dental specialist	34 (100)	0(0)	0.35
		Male	125 (96.9)	4 (3.1)	0.00
	Gender	Female	50 (96.2)	2(3.8)	> 0.99
		Yes	112 (94.9)	6 (5.1)	0.00
2- High-pressure	Participation in retraining courses	No	63 (100)	0(0)	0.09
suction		< 15	91 (97.8)	2(2.2)	0.40
	Employment history (years)	> 15	84 (95.5)	4 (4.5)	0.43
	~	General dentist	141 (95.9)	6 (4.6)	
	Specialty	Dental specialist	34 (100)	0(0)	0.59
	~ .	Male	123 (95.3)	6 (4.7)	
	Gender	Female	49 (94.2)	3 (5.8)	0.71
		Yes	111 (94.1)	7 (5.9)	
	Participation in retraining courses	g courses No 61 (96	61 (96 8)	2(32)	0.49
3- Ventilation		< 15	92 (98.9)	1(1.1)	
	Employment history (years)	> 15	80 (90.9)	8 (9.1)	0.16
		General dentist	138 (93.9)	9(61)	
	Specialty	Dental specialist	34 (100)	0(0)	0.21
		Male	0(0)	129(100)	
	Gender	Female	2(3.8)	50 (96 2)	0.08
	Participation in retraining courses	Ves	1(0.8)	117 (99.2)	
4- Air disinfection		No	1(0.0) 1(1.6)	62 (98.4)	> 0.99
device		< 15	1(1.0) 1(1.1)	92(98.9)	
device	Employment history (years)	> 15	1(1.1) 1(1.1)	87 (98.9)	> 0.99
		General dentist	0(0)	147(100)	
	Specialty	Dental specialist	2(59)	32(941)	0.03^{*}
		Male	102(3.9)	27(20.9)	
	Gender	Female	41 (78.8)	11(212)	> 0.99
		Ves	94(797)	24(20.3)	
5- Trash bin equipped	Participation in retraining courses	No	49(77.8)	14(22.2)	0.84
with pedal or eve sensor		< 15	73 (78.5)	20(21.5)	
with pedal of eye sensor	Employment history (years)	> 15	70 (79.5)	18(20.5)	> 0.99
		General dentist	117(79.6)	30(20.3)	
	Specialty	Dental specialist	26 (76 5)	8 (23.5)	0.64
		Male	118(91.5)	11(85)	
	Gender	Female	49 (94.2)	3(5.8)	0.76
		Ves	109(924)	9(7.6)	
	Participation in retraining courses	No	58 (92.1)	5(7.0)	> 0.99
6- Waste disposal		< 15	82 (88 2)	11(11.8)	
	Employment history (years)	> 15	85 (96 6)	3(34)	0.04^{*}
		General dentist	133 (90.5)	14 (9 5)	
	Specialty	Dental specialist	34(100)	0(0)	0.07
		Male	124 (96 1)	5(39)	
	Gender	Female	51 (98.1)	1(19) 0.67	0.67
		Ves	113 (05.8)	5(4.2)	
7- Separate sterile	e sterile Participation in retraining courses	No	62(08.4)	$\frac{1}{(1.6)}$	0.66
disposable tools and		NU ~ 15	80(05.7)	1(1.0)	
devices	Employment history (years)	< 15	86(07.7)	4(4.3)	0.68
		≤ 13	141(05.0)	2(2.3)	
	Specialty	Dentel accentist	141(95.9)	0(4.1)	0.59
		Dental specialist	54 (100)	0(0)	

Table 3. Investigating the distribution of the studied variables in the observance frequency ofrequirements 1 to 8 for the provision of dental services

Requirements	Variables		Yes [n (%)]	No [n (%)]	Р
	Gender	Male	61 (47.3)	68 (52.7)	0.62
		Female	22 (42.3)	30(57.7)	
	Participation in retraining courses	Yes	57 (48.3)	61(51.7)	0.43
8- a: Disposal turbine	1 0	N0	20(41.3)	57 (58.7)	
	Employment history (years)	< 15	45 (40.2)	30(33.8)	> 0.99
		≤ 13	40(43.3)	48 (34.3)	
	Specialty	Dontal spacialist	16(43.0)	18(52.0)	> 0.99
		Malo	10(47.1) 104(80.6)	10(32.9) 25(10.4)	
	Gender	Female	104 (80.0)	$\frac{23(19.4)}{7(13.5)}$	0.39
	Participation in retraining courses	Ves	100(84.7)	18(153)	
8- b. Sterile turbine		No	49 (77.8)	10(13.3) 14(22.2)	0.30
with valve	— • • • · · ·	< 15	83 (89.2)	10(10.8)	
	Employment history (years)	> 15	66 (75.0)	22(25.0)	0.11
		General dentist	119 (81.0)	28 (19.0)	0.45
	Specialty	Dental specialist	30 (88.2)	4 (11.8)	0.45
	Condor	Male	124 (96.1)	5 (3.9)	0.28
	Gender	Female	48 (92.3)	4 (7.7)	
	Derticipation in retraining courses	Yes	109 (92.4)	9 (7.6)	0.12
8- c: Simple sterile	Farticipation in retraining courses	No	63 (100)	0 (0)	
turbine	Employment history (years)	< 15	89 (95.7)	4 (4.3)	0.74
	Employment instory (years)	≥15	83 (94.3)	5 (5.7)	
	Specialty	General dentist	138 (93.9)	9 (6.1)	0.21
	specialty	Dental specialist	34 (100)	0 (0)	

 Table 3. Investigating the distribution of the studied variables in the observance frequency of requirements 1 to 8 for the provision of dental services (continue)

*Significance at the level of 0.05.

Most of the preferences were observed more by dental specialists compared to general dentists, but this difference was not statistically significant. It should be noted that due to the prevalence of coronavirus in the last year and a half and the novelty of the subject, there were no articles similar to the present study to compare the results, but some studies that were somewhat similar to the present study were used for this comparison.

Khader et al. evaluated dentists' knowledge, perception, and attitudes regarding COVID-19 and infection control among Jordanian dentists. In the mentioned study, 368 subjects were within the age range of 22 to 73 years.¹⁶

All subjects completed an online, 2-part questionnaire comprised of questions about demographic information and specialized information on coronavirus (including incubation period, symptoms, and ways of transmission). The results showed that 197 people (53.0%) had received infection control training and 28 people (7.7%) had participated in coronavirus training sessions. Moreover, 133 patients (1.36%) were aware of the incubation period of the coronavirus from 1 to 14 days coronavirus incubation period, most of them were aware of the symptoms and methods of identifying at-risk patients and ways of transmission, and 275 people (7.74%) believed that patients should sit at a suitable distance from each other and wear masks in the waiting room.

In general, Jordanian dentists were aware of the symptoms, the way of transmission and control of the infection, and clinical procedures, but had a low level of knowledge of the additional precautions that protect staff.16 The number of dentists examined in the mentioned study was more than that examined in the present study and using the online questionnaire was far better and easier than manual completion of the questionnaire used in the present study. Furthermore, the subjects of the 2 studies were different. The subject of the mentioned study was the investigation of the dentists' perceptions and attitudes towards COVID-19 and infection control, while in the present study, dentists' observance of requirements and preferences of health protocols was evaluated.

Requirements	Variables		Yes [n (%)]	No [n (%)]	Р
	Caralan	Male	112 (86.8)	17 (13.2)	0.49
	Gender	Female	43 (82.7)	9 (17.3)	0.48
0.0 111	Destining the instantial	Yes	99 (83.9)	19 (16.1)	0.50
9- Suitable management	Participation in retraining courses	No	56 (88.9)	7 (11.1)	0.50
of the exit and entry to	England and history (man)	< 15	80 (86.0)	13 (14.0)	> 0.00
the laboratory	Employment history (years)	≥15	75 (85.2)	13 (14.8)	> 0.99
	Specialty	General dentist	122 (83.0)	25 (17.0)	0.02*
	Specially	Dental specialist	33 (97.1)	1 (2.9)	0.05
	Gondor	Male	32 (24.8)	97 (75.2)	> 0.00
	Gender	Female	13 (25.0)	39 (75.0)	> 0.99
	Participation in retraining courses	Yes	30 (25.4)	88 (74.6)	0.85
10- Time interval	r articipation in retraining courses	No	15 (23.8)	48 (76.2)	0.05
between patients	Employment history (years)	< 15	21 (22.6)	72 (77.4)	0.49
	Employment instory (years)	≥15	24 (27.3)	64 (72.7)	0.47
	Specialty	General dentist	27 (18.4)	120 (81.6)	$< 0.01^{*}$
	Specially	Dental specialist	18 (52.9)	16 (47.1)	< 0.01
	Gender	Male	27 (20.9)	109 (79.1)	0.06
	o that	Female	18 (34.6)	34 (65.4)	0.00
	Participation in retraining courses	Yes	29 (24.6)	89 (75.4)	> 0.99
11- Screening and	Employment history (years) No	No	16 (25.4)	47 (74.6)	/ 0.//
triage		< 15	22 (23.7)	71 (76.3)	0.73
		≥15	23 (26.1)	65 (73.9)	0170
	Specialty	General dentist	24 (16.3)	123 (83.7)	< 0.01*
	Specially	Dental specialist	21 (61.8)	13 (38.2)	
	Gender	Male	99 (76.7)	30 (23.3)	0.15
		Female	45 (86.5)	7 (13.5)	
12- Installing triage	Ing triage referral suspectedParticipation in retraining coursesEmployment history (years)	Yes	89 (75.4)	29 (24.6)	0.08
charts and referral		No	55 (87.3)	8 (12.7)	
tables for suspected		< 15	76 (81.7)	17 (18.3)	0.46
patients		≥ 15	68(7/.3)	20 (22.7)	
	Specialty	General dentist	112 (76.2)	35 (23.8)	0.01^{*}
	1 2	Dental specialist	32 (94.1)	2 (5.9)	
	Gender	Male	109 (84.5)	20 (15.5)	0.38
		Female	41(78.8) 101(85.6)	11(21.2) 17(14.4)	
	Participation in retraining courses	i es No	101(83.0)	17(14.4) 14(22.2)	0.21
13- Educational poster		N0	49(77.0)	14(22.2) 11(11.8)	
	Employment history (years)	< 15	62 (00.2)	11(11.0) 20(22.7)	0.07
		$\leq 1J$ Concred dontist	121(82.3)	20(22.7) 26(17.7)	
	Specialty	Dental specialist	121(62.3) 29(853)	5(14.7)	0.80
		Male	125 (96.9)	J(14.7)	> 0.99
	Gender	Female	51 (98.1)	1(19)	/ 0.//
		Yes	115 (97 5)	3(25)	> 0.99
14- Distance between	Participation in retraining courses	No	61 (96 8)	2(3.8)	/ 0.//
the clients' seats		< 15	90 (96.8)	$\frac{2}{3}(32)$	> 0.99
the chefts seats	Employment history (years)	> 15	86 (97 7)	2(2.3)	/ 0.//
		General dentist	142 (96.6)	5(34)	
	Specialty	Dental specialist	34(100)	0(0)	0.58
		Male	126 (97.7)	3 (2.3)	
	Gender	Female	49 (94.2)	3(5.8)	0.35
		Yes	114 (96 6)	4(34)	> 0.99
15- Removing all	moving all Participation in retraining courses	No	61 (96.8)	2(32)	/ 0.))
common equipment		< 15	90 (96.8)	3(32)	> 0 99
from the waiting room	Employment history (years)	> 15	85 (96.6)	3(3.2)	20.33
		General dentist	141 (95 9)	6(41)	
	Specialty	Dental specialist	34(100)	0(4.1)	0.59
		Dental specialist	51(100)		

Table 4. Investigating the distribution of the studied variables in the observance frequency ofrequirements 9 to 18 for the provision of dental services

Dequinamenta	Variables	on or demat servi		$N_0 \left[m \left(0/ \right) \right]$	D	
Requirements	v ariables		r es [ll (%)]		r	
	Gandar	Male	108 (83.7)	21 (16.3)	0.51	
	Gender	Female	41 (78.8)	11 (21.2)	0.51	
	Derticipation in retraining courses	Yes	100 (84.7)	18 (15.3)	0.20	
16- Periodical	Farticipation in retraining courses	No	49 (77.8)	14 (22.2)	0.50	
disinfection	Energlasses and history (second)	< 15	77 (82.8)	16 (17.2)	> 0.00	
	Employment history (years)	≥15	72 (81.8)	16 (18.2)	> 0.99	
	Specialty	General dentist	120 (81.6)	27 (18.4)	0.80	
	Specialty	Dental specialist	29 (85.3)	5 (14.7)	0.80	
	Gandar	Male	110 (85.3)	19 (14.7)	> 0.00	
	Gender	Female	45 (86.5)	7 (13.5)	> 0.99	
	Participation in retraining courses Employment history (years)	Yes	100 (84.7)	18 (15.3)	0.82	
17- Awareness of		No	55 (87.3)	8 (12.7)	0.82	
instructions		< 15	80 (86.0)	13 (14.0)	> 0.99	
		≥15	75 (85.2)	13 (14.8)		
		General dentist	126 (85.7)	21 (14.3)	> 0.99	
	specialty	Dental specialist	29 (85.3)	5 (14.7)		
	Gondor	Male	122 (94.6)	7 (5.4)	> 0.99	
	Gender	Female	50 (96.2)	2 (3.8)		
	Participation in retraining courses	Yes	111 (94.1)	7 (5.9)	0.40	
18 Parsonal protection	r articipation in retraining courses	No	61 (96.8)	2 (3.2)	0.49	
18- reisonal protection	Employment history (years)	< 15	89 (95.7)	4 (4.3)	0.74	
	Employment instory (years)	≥15	83 (94.3)	5 (5.7)	0.74	
	Specialty	General dentist	138 (93.9)	9 (6.1)	0.21	
	Specialty	Dental specialist	34 (100)	0 (0)	0.21	

 Table 4. Investigating the distribution of the studied variables in the observance frequency of requirements 9 to 18 for the provision of dental services (continue)

*Significance at the level of 0.05.

In a similar study, Ebrahimpour et al. investigated the knowledge and practice of dental students according to the infection control guidelines in the School of Dentistry, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari. The mentioned study was conducted on 87 dental students.¹⁷ In their study, the knowledge of infection control in subjects was at a good level and the practice of the subjects was suitable for reasons such as the rules governing the units.¹⁷

In another study by Gaffar et al. on dentists' knowledge and practice regarding the MERS-CoV virus, 423 dentists in major cities of KSA completed a questionnaire on the MERS virus (transmission, consequences, and patient identification).¹⁸

In general, the subjects' knowledge of the training was at a good level. The best management was found in the management of identified patients. Finally, it was stated that educational programs should be considered due to the unique nature of dental practice.¹⁸ In this study, more dentists were

studied and their knowledge and information about MERS-CoV virus were investigated, while the observance frequency in dentists and their practice were not examined. The present study was conducted on another group of this virus, examined the observance frequency of health protocols, and compared general dentists and dental specialists in this regard. In a study, Baseer et al. investigated the awareness of precautions against droplets and particles released during dental work in the COVID-19 outbreak in Riyadh, KSA.19 In this study, there was a significant positive correlation coefficient between knowledge and attitude and between knowledge and practice. Finally, it was stated that dentists had good knowledge, positive attitude, and good practice in the face of droplets and particles formed during dental procedures in the MERS virus outbreak.¹⁹

In this study, another group of the coronavirus family known as MERS, was investigated, but in the present study, COVID-19 was examined. In addition, the factor of knowledge was examined in the present study. Sabouhi et al. investigated the observance of some of the principles of cross-contamination control in dental treatment centers in Isfahan, Iran.²⁰ They found that the frequency of using goggles by dental distance betw specialists was significantly higher than that

centers in Isfahan, Iran.²⁰ They found that the frequency of using goggles by dental specialists was significantly higher than that by general dentists. In the area of disinfection of tested prostheses in patients' mouths before sending them to the laboratory, dental specialists paid more attention to prosthetic disinfection compared to general dentists. Finally, infection control was found to be at a moderate level.²⁰ The health protocols and the questionnaires used in this study were different from those used in the study by Sabouhi et al., which ultimately led to different results.20 However, similar to the present study, the observance frequency in dental specialists was significantly higher than that in general dentists.

The main limitations of the present study include a small sample size, nonblack of cooperation of some dentists, lack of similar studies, and thus, impossibility of comparing the results of this study with those of other studies.

Conclusion

In general, most of the dentists who participated in the present study observed health protocols. highest frequency of observing requirements was related to observing the distance between the clients' seats, followed by high-pressure suction, a separate sterile disposable equipment package for each patient, and removal of all common equipment from the waiting room, respectively. The highest observance of the preferences was related to the preference of the use of one-way valves in the unit. Furthermore, most of the requirements were observed more by dental specialists compared to general dentists.

Conflict of Interests

Authors have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

The present paper is derived from a DDS thesis approved by Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences. The authors wish to thank all those who took part in the study.

The present study was financially supported by Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran.

References

- 1. Meng L, Hua F, Bian Z. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): Emerging and future challenges for dental and oral medicine. J Dent Res 2020; 99(5): 481-7.
- Ibrahim NK, Alwafi HA, Sangoof SO, Turkistani AK, Alattas BM. Cross-infection and infection control in dentistry: Knowledge, attitude and practice of patients attended dental clinics in King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. J Infect Public Health 2017; 10(4): 438-45.
- 3. Cui J, Li F, Shi ZL. Origin and evolution of pathogenic coronaviruses. Nat Rev Microbiol 2019; 17(3): 181-92.
- 4. Zemouri C, de SH, Crielaard W, Laheij A. A scoping review on bio-aerosols in healthcare and the dental environment. PLoS One 2017; 12(5): e0178007.
- 5. Lauer SA, Grantz KH, Bi Q, Jones FK, Zheng Q, Meredith HR, et al. The incubation period of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) from publicly reported confirmed cases: Estimation and application. Ann Intern Med 2020; 172(9): 577-82.
- 6. Coronaviridae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. The species Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: Classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. Nat Microbiol 2020; 5(4): 536-44.
- 7. Rothe C, Schunk M, Sothmann P, Bretzel G, Froeschl G, Wallrauch C, et al. Transmission of 2019-nCoV infection from an asymptomatic contact in Germany. N Engl J Med 2020; 382(10): 970-1.
- 8. Bai Y, Yao L, Wei T, Tian F, Jin DY, Chen L, et al. Presumed asymptomatic carrier transmission of COVID-19. JAMA 2020; 323(14): 1406-7.
- 9. van Seventer JM. Principles of infectious diseases: transmission, diagnosis, prevention, and control. International Encyclopedia of Public Health. 2017: 22-39.
- 10. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Kruger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. Cell 2020; 181(2): 271-80.

- 11. Sabino-Silva R, Jardim ACG, Siqueira WL. Coronavirus COVID-19 impacts to dentistry and potential salivary diagnosis. Clin Oral Investig 2020; 24(4): 1619-21.
- 12. Peng X, Xu X, Li Y, Cheng L, Zhou X, Ren B. Transmission routes of 2019-nCoV and controls in dental practice. Int J Oral Sci 2020; 12(1): 9.
- 13. Stewardson DA, Palenik CJ, McHugh ES, Burke FJ. Occupational exposures occurring in students in a UK dental school. Eur J Dent Educ 2002; 6(3): 104-13.
- 14. World Health Organization. Rational use of personal protective equipment for coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and considerations during severe shortages [Online]. [cited 2020 Dec 23]; Available from: URL: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/rational-use-of-personal-protective-equipment-for-coronavirus-disease-(covid-19)-and-considerations-during-severe-shortages
- Gamio L. The Workers Who Face the Greatest Coronavirus Risk. New York Times (2020 Mar 15) [Online]. [cited 2020]; Available from: URL: https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2020/03/15/business/economy/coronavirus-worker-risk.html
- 16. Khader Y, Al NM, Al-Batayneh OB, Saadeh R, Bashier H, Alfaqih M, et al. Dentists' awareness, perception, and attitude regarding covid-19 and infection control: Cross-sectional study among Jordanian dentists. JMIR Public Health Surveill 2020; 6(2): e18798.
- 17. Ebrahimpour A, Pakravan AH, Yousef Nezhad M, Alipour N, Saravi ME, Rahbar F, et al. Knowledge and performance of dental students with regard to infection control guidelines in Dental School of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences in 2015. Int J Med Res Health Sci 2016; 5(8): 298-304.
- Gaffar BO, El TM, Al-Ansari AA, AlAgl AS, Farooqi FA, Almas KM. Knowledge and practices of dentists regarding MERS-CoV. A cross-sectional survey in Saudi Arabia. Saudi Med J 2019; 40(7): 714-20.
- Baseer MA, Ansari SH, AlShamrani SS, Alakras AR, Mahrous R, Alenazi AM. Awareness of droplet and airborne isolation precautions among dental health professionals during the outbreak of corona virus infection in Riyadh city, Saudi Arabia. J Clin Exp Dent 2016; 8(4): e379-e387.
- 20. Sabouhi M, Alian MD, Ahmadian R, Farhad F. A survey on some principles of cross-infection control in dental health centers in Isfahan. J Isfahan Dent Sch 2015; 11(3): 248-57. [In Persian].