

Journal of Oral Health and Oral Epidemiology

https://johoe.kmu.ac.ir 10.34172/johoe.2023.05 Vol. 12, No. 1, 2023, 27-31

Original Article





Evaluation of knowledge levels of Turkish dental students on maxillofacial trauma assessment in 2020

Merve Sarı1*

¹Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Clinic, Tokat Oral and Dental Health Center, Tokat, Turkey

Abstract

Background: This study aimed to evaluate the level of theoretical and practical knowledge of the fourth- and fifth-year dental students in the examination of maxillofacial trauma patients.

Methods: A questionnaire consisting of 20 questions measured on a Likert scale, was designed to evaluate the knowledge level of the students about maxillofacial trauma. The survey questions were transferred to Google Forms. The questionnaire was delivered to the students electronically through the Turkish Dental Association. Survey responses submitted between September 1, 2020 and November 1, 2020 were included.

Results: The study included 660 dentistry students, among whom 420 were in the fifth and 240 were in the fourth year. Participants were asked 13 questions under the heading "Which of the following is/are appropriate for emergency assessment of a conscious patient presenting with maxillofacial trauma?" While 10 questions were answered correctly by the majority of the students, 3 questions were answered incorrectly. Additionally, negative responses were found to be higher for the seven subjective questions asked to evaluate students' clinical experience.

Conclusion: This study found that the dentistry students had an acceptable degree of theoretical knowledge about maxillofacial trauma, but they lacked clinical experience. Therefore, in undergraduate dental education, theoretical training should be coupled with proper practical training in patient examination, diagnostics, and treatment.

Keywords: Dental student, Knowledge, Maxillofacial trauma

Citation: Sarı M. Evaluation of knowledge levels of Turkish dental students on maxillofacial trauma assessment in 2020. J Oral Health Oral Epidemiol. 2023;12(1):27–31. doi:10.34172/johoe.2023.05

Received: August 27, 2021, Accepted: May 7, 2022, ePublished: March 29, 2023

Introduction

Maxillofacial trauma is an important health problem commonly encountered in the emergency room.¹ Its etiology includes traffic accidents, occupational accidents, physical assault, sports accidents, and falls.² Initial assessment and evaluation of patients with maxillofacial trauma include the examination of the vital signs. After the initial evaluation, procedures are performed for the diagnosis and treatment of respiratory and circulatory problems.³ After the patient's vital functions are stabilized, dentists move on to the next stages of examination and diagnosis for the management of the injured area.⁴

A dentist is required to have the necessary knowledge and skills to establish a correct diagnosis and develop an appropriate treatment plan for patients with maxillofacial trauma. To ensure this, dental students should be offered the necessary theoretical knowledge as part of their undergraduate curriculum, and this knowledge should be supported by clinical practice. Effective feedback methods are imperative to assess the knowledge and practical experience that dental students gain in classes and subsequently improve the undergraduate curriculum. 5.6 When assessed correctly, data obtained from such methods will help educational institutions improve their

approach to training students according to their strengths and shortcomings.

This study aimed to evaluate the level of theoretical and practical knowledge of the fourth- and fifth-year dental students in the examination of patients with maxillofacial trauma.

Methods

The cross-sectional study was conducted on fourthand fifth-year dentistry students who volunteered to participate in the study. The survey and informed consent form we used in the study was delivered online to fourthand fifth-year dental students registered with the Turkish Dental Association. The questionnaire was designed to determine the basic concepts of maxillofacial trauma examination, emergency intervention, and follow-up procedures and to assess the students' level of knowledge regarding these issues.

The first part of the questionnaire focuses on students' age, sex, and study year. The second part comprises 20 questions measured on a Likert scale, to assess the students' level of knowledge about managing maxillofacial traumas. The survey was created with reference to the study by Saruhan et al⁷ on the subject. Saruhan et al⁷ designed the



questionnaire based on *Tintinalli's Emergency Medicine: A Comprehensive Study Guide, 8th Edition,* 2004.8

Students were informed that participation was voluntary and anonymous. An informed consent form was obtained from all participants. Survey questions were sent to students in Google Forms. The questions were sent to students via the Turkish Dental Association to be filled out by the students. Survey responses submitted between September 1, 2020 and November 1, 2020 were included. To avoid conflict of interest, participants were instructed not to reveal their universities. The primary goal was to collect as much data on the subject as possible to improve dental education.

Statistical analysis

IBM SPSS Version 25.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp) was used for all statistical analyses. Regarding the data, in addition to frequency and percentage distributions, the relationship between categorical variables was analyzed using the chi-square test. *P* values under 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

We included 660 dentistry students, 242 men (36.7%) and 418 women (63.3%), among whom 420 (63.6%) were in the fifth and 240 (36.4%) were in the fourth year. Participants' age ranged from 20 to 29 years with a mean of 23.08 ± 1.24 years (Table 1). Participants were asked 13 questions under the heading "Which of the following is/ are appropriate for emergency assessment of a conscious patient presenting with maxillofacial trauma?" The questions, the correct responses, and the students' responses are summarized in Table 2. The highest rate of correct responses was given to the following items: "Facial asymmetry should be checked" (98.9%), "The airway should be checked" (96.7%), "Change in patient's occlusion should be asked" (96.2%), "It is enough to make a frontal facial assessment" (95.6%), and "Numbness on patient's face should be checked" (93.8%).

The lowest rate of correct responses was given to the following items: "Radiographic assessment is required before physical examination" (24.7%), "Check for maxillary mobility for suspected Le Fort fracture; however, the examination cannot establish the exact type of Le Fort fracture" (26.5%), "Pre-trauma dental pain should be asked" (31.8%), and "Time since the last meal should be asked" (46.4%). In the item "Check for maxillary mobility for suspected Le Fort fracture, however, the examination

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the participants

		Fourth-year	Fifth-year	Total
Gender	Men	101 (41.73%)	141 (58.26%)	242
	Women	139 (33.25%)	279 (66.74%)	418
Mean age		22.45 ± 1.07	23.45 ± 1.19	23.08 ± 1.24

cannot establish the exact type of Le Fort fracture," 13.3% of the fourth-year students and 34% of fifth-year students responded "No," which was the correct answer. A statistically significant difference was also observed between the groups (P<0.01).

The study also included seven subjective questions to evaluate the students' clinical experience. The students' responses are presented in Table 3. Overall, 20% of the fourth-year students and 40.5% of the fifth-year students responded "Yes" to the question, "Have you ever come across a maxillofacial trauma?" whereas 35% of the fourth-year students and 53.8% of the fifth-year students answered "Yes" to the question, "Do you think you can diagnose a condyle fracture on clinical examination?" Furthermore, 12.5% of the fourth-year students and 24.8% of the fifth-year students answered "Yes" to the question "Do you think you have sufficient knowledge about intermaxillary fixation?", and 28% of the fourth-year students and 41.6% of the fifth-year students answered "Yes" to the question "Do you think you can make the correct diagnosis for patients with dental and maxillofacial trauma?" A statistically significant difference was also observed between the groups in these questions (P < 0.01).

Discussion

The maxillofacial area is involved in both chewing and speech and also serves aesthetic purposes. Therefore, the approach towards the trauma patient at initial examination and correct diagnosis are of great importance.4 The basic maxillofacial trauma training in dentistry aims to give dental students the necessary level of knowledge and practical skills to make a correct pre-diagnosis and provide guidance in maxillofacial trauma cases. According to the results of the present study, although the responses given to the objective questions were acceptable, the answers to the subjective questions show that the knowledge of the students is inadequate in terms of diagnosis and treatment of maxillofacial trauma. This also demonstrates that despite the sufficient theoretical education on maxillofacial trauma, students lack clinical experience. When the answers to subjective questions were examined in the studies of Saruhan et al,7 it was observed that physicians stated that the experience was not sufficient for both diagnosis and treatment. These results were attributed to the high level of hesitation in making a diagnosis due to the low rate of exposure to maxillofacial trauma, even if the quality of the theoretical education provided in dentistry faculties was sufficient. We observed that the fifth-year students provided positive answers to subjective questions significantly more frequently than the fourth-year students. This can be related to the fact that the fifth-year students participating in the study were more familiar with clinical cases than the fourth-year students. In the studies of Saruhan et al,7 it was observed that the knowledge level of graduate dentists was higher

Table 2. The checklist, the correct responses and the students' responses

	Correct response	Students' responses	Total	Fourth-year	Fifth-year	P
		Yes	96.7%	95.8%	97.1%	
Airway should be checked.	Yes	No	2.3%	2.5%	2.1%	0.492
		No information	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%	
		Yes	85.5%	84.6%	86%	
The patient should be tested for vision loss.	Yes	No	3.8%	2.5%	4.5%	0.190
		No information	10.8%	12.9%	9.5%	
		Yes	93.8%	95%	93%	
Numbness on patient's face should be checked.	Yes	No	2.4%	0.4%	3.5%	0.031
		No information	3.8%	4.5%	3.3%	
		Yes	46.4%	48.3%	45.2%	
ime since the last meal should be asked.	Yes	No	21.4%	19.5%	22.3%	0.644
		No information	32.3%	32%	32.3%	
		Yes	48.5%	50.4%	47.3%	
re-trauma dental pain should be asked.	No	No	31.8%	27.5%	34.2%	0.166
		No information	19.7%	22%	18.3%	
		Yes	96.2%	94.1%	97.3%	
Change in patient's occlusion should be asked.	Yes	No	0.9%	1.6%	0.4%	0.950
		No information	2.9%	4.1%	2.1%	
		Yes	70.9%	72%	70.2%	
adiographic assessment is required before	No	No	24.7%	21.2%	26.6%	0.042
hysical examination.		No information	4.4%	6.6%	3%	
		Yes	98.9%	99.1%	98.9%	
acial asymmetry should be checked.	Yes	No	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%	0.69
, ,		No information	0.8%	0.4%	0.9%	
		Yes	2.9%	2.5%	3%	
is enough to make a frontal facial assessment.	No	No	95.6%	95%	96%	0.27
J		No information	1.5%	2.5%	1%	
		Yes	71.4%	68.3%	73%	
Il facial bones inside and outside the injured	Yes	No	15.5%	15.8%	15.2%	0.28
rea should be manually examined.		No information	13.2%	15.8%	11.6%	
		Yes	85.9%	87%	85.2%	
oreign objects and broken tooth fragments	Yes	No	6.2%	4.1%	7.3%	0.22
nould be removed.		No information	7.9%	8.7%	7.3%	
		Yes	42.7%	35.8%	46.6%	
heck for maxillary mobility for suspected Le ort fracture, however, the examination cannot	No	No	26.5%	13.3%	34%	< 0.00
stablish the exact type of Le Fort fracture.	0	No information	30.8%	50.8%	19.2%	. 0.00
		Yes	91.1%	89.1%	92.1%	
ong-term follow-up should be performed even if nere is no immediate complaint after trauma to	Yes	No	2.1%	2.5%	1.9%	0.43
he joint area.	163	No information	6.8%	8.3%	6%	0.43

Chi-square test, *P*<0.05 statistically significant (*P*: statistical difference between fourth-year and fifth-year students)

in each question than the fifth-year students.

The item with the lowest correct response rate was "Radiographic assessment should be made before patient examination." According to the guidelines in *Tintinalli's Emergency Medicine: A Comprehensive Study Guide*8, radiographic assessment of the patient should be made

after the physical examination because a fast and accurate clinical examination is a priority in maxillofacial traumas. Another item with a low correct response rate was the item "Check for maxillary mobility for suspected Le Fort fracture; however, the examination cannot establish the exact type of Le Fort fracture." This may be attributed to

Table 3. Subjective questions and students' responses

		Total	Fourth-year	Fifth-year	P
	Yes	33%	20%	40.5%	<0.001*
Have you ever come across a maxillofacial trauma?	No	67%	80%	59.5%	
	Yes	47%	35%	53.8%	<0.001*
Do you think you can diagnose a condyle fracture on clinical examination?	No	53%	65%	46.2%	
Davis skiele og kan av fleriget brande de ekset inter som iller flerete 2	Yes	20.3%	12.5%	24.8%	<0.001*
Do you think you have sufficient knowledge about inter-maxillary fixation?	No	79.7%	87.5%	75.2%	
	Yes	12.6%	13.4%	12.2%	0.657
Do you think you are knowledgeable enough about the Barton bandage?	No	87.4%	86.6%	87.8%	
Davis skieli von een hendle erkentstervon it von en een op een 2	Yes	33.6%	31.6%	34.7%	0.418
Do you think you can handle a dental trauma if you ever encounter one?	No	66.4%	68.4%	65.3%	
Do you think you can make the correct diagnosis for patients with dental and	Yes	36.7%	28%	41.6%	<0.001*
maxillofacial trauma?	No	63.3%	72%	58.4%	
Da	Yes	10.8%	8.7%	12%	0.208
Do you think you can handle a maxillofacial trauma if you ever encounter one?	No	89.2%	91.3%	88%	

Chi-square test, P < 0.05 statistically significant (P: statistical difference between fourth-year and fifth-year students).

the relatively low clinical incidence of Le Fort fractures. Yet another item with a low correct response rate was "Time since the last meal should be asked." According to the same guideline, this information is crucial when examining the patient to make quick decisions in cases where sedation and general anesthesia may be required.⁸

Previous studies have investigated dental students' level of knowledge on dental trauma and showed that students were insufficiently educated in dental trauma management.9,10 In the study conducted by Özmen et al11 to evaluate dental trauma knowledge of dentistry students, it was reported that 80.1% of the students had insufficient knowledge. In the study of Eden et al,12 in which they evaluated the approach of senior medical students about dental trauma, it was reported that the students' knowledge about dental trauma was insufficient. In the studies conducted by Alyasi et al¹³ in United Arab Emirates, Zaleckienė et al¹⁴ in Lithuania, De Franca et al¹⁵ in Brazil, and Kostopoulou and Duggal 16 in England, the knowledge level of dentists was found to be insufficient. In the studies conducted by Buldur and Kapdan¹⁷ and Aydınoğlu et al¹⁸ in Turkey, Akhlaghi et al¹⁹ in Iran, and Yeng and Parashos²⁰ in Australia, it was determined that dentists had a moderate level of dental trauma knowledge. Based on these studies, it is evident that theoretical training on dental and maxillofacial traumas should be supported by practical application and clinical experience.

This study has some limitations. The survey was based on a non-validated, self-designed questionnaire. The survey we used in the study was delivered only to fourth-and fifth-year dental students registered with the Turkish Dental Association. Owing to the fact that there are students who have not participated in the study, the results cannot be generalized. Moreover, as feedback surveys may lead participants to give socially acceptable responses, the answers given to the questionnaire may not fully reflect

the students' real knowledge and daily professional practice. Despite these limitations, the present study has collected important information on dental students' level of knowledge and practical experience related to maxillofacial trauma in Turkey. Similar studies with larger sample sizes should be conducted on this topic in the future.

Conclusion

This study found that dentistry students possessed an acceptable level of theoretical knowledge about maxillofacial trauma, but they lacked clinical experience. Therefore, in undergraduate dental education, theoretical training in patient examination, diagnoses, and treatment should be supported with proper clinical practice, and an educational strategy should be planned accordingly. In addition, it should be ensured that students make more clinical observations in clinical trauma cases.

Authors' Contribution

Conceptualization: Merve Sarı.

Data curation: Merve Sarı.

Formal analysis: Merve Sarı.

Funding acquisition: Merve Sarı.

Investigation: Merve Sarı.

Methodology: Merve Sarı.

Project administration: Merve Sarı.

Resources: Merve Sarı.
Supervision: Merve Sarı.
Validation: Merve Sarı.
Visualization: Merve Sarı.
Writing-original draft: Merve Sarı.
Writing-review & editing: Merve Sarı.

Competing Interests

There are no conflicts of interest.

Ethical Approval

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tokat Gaziosmanpasa University (Date: August 27, 2020, Meeting number: 2020/11, Project number: 20-KAEK-223).

Funding

There was no financial support.

References

- Gassner R, Tuli T, Hächl O, Rudisch A, Ulmer H. Craniomaxillofacial trauma: a 10 year review of 9,543 cases with 21,067 injuries. J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2003;31(1):51-61. doi: 10.1016/s1010-5182(02)00168-3.
- Keleş B, Oztürk K, Arbağ H, Han Ulkü C, Gezgin B. [Treatment options and common problems in patients with maxillofacial trauma]. Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg. 2006;12(3):218-22. [Turkish].
- Ferraro JW. Fundamentals of Maxillofacial Surgery. Springer Science & Business Media; 2012.
- Miloro M, Ghali GE, Larsen PE, Waite P. Peterson's Principles of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. Vol 1. PMPH-USA; 2004.
- Stafuzza TC, Carrara CF, Oliveira FV, Santos CF, Oliveira TM. Evaluation of the dentists' knowledge on medical urgency and emergency. Braz Oral Res. 2014;28. doi: 10.1590/10.1590/1807-3107bor-2014.vol28.0029.
- Jodalli PS, Ankola AV. Evaluation of knowledge, experience and perceptions about medical emergencies amongst dental graduates (Interns) of Belgaum city, India. J Clin Exp Dent. 2012;4(1):e14-8. doi: 10.4317/jced.50627.
- Saruhan N, Gürbüz-Urvasızoğlu G, Ataol M, Temiz M. Evaluation of knowledge levels of senior dental students and dentists on maxillofacial trauma assessment. Med J SDU. 2018;25(4):450-5. doi: 10.17343/sdutfd.387020.
- Hung OL, Nelson L. Tintinalli's Emergency Medicine: A Comprehensive Study Guide. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2004.
- Al-Shamiri HM, Alaizari NA, Al-Maweri SA, Tarakji B. Knowledge and attitude of dental trauma among dental students in Saudi Arabia. Eur J Dent. 2015;9(4):518-22. doi: 10.4103/1305-7456.172636.
- Fujita Y, Shiono Y, Maki K. Knowledge of emergency management of avulsed tooth among Japanese dental students. BMC Oral Health. 2014;14:34. doi: 10.1186/1472-

6831-14-34.

- 11. Özmen B, Yilmaz S, Bodur Güney HZ, Kaya Z. Klinik öncesi bir grup diş hekimliği öğrencilerinin dental travmaya yaklaşımı: bir anket çalışması. Selcuk Dent J. 2021;8(3):703-7. doi: 10.15311/selcukdentj.778584.
- 12. Eden E, Kılınç G, Ellidokuz H. İzmir ilindeki iki tıp fakültesine devam eden son sınıf öğrencilerinin dental travmaya yaklaşımları. J DEU Med. 2011;25(1):31-7.
- Alyasi M, Al Halabi M, Hussein I, Khamis AH, Kowash M. Dentists' knowledge of the guidelines of traumatic dental injuries in the United Arab Emirates. Eur J Paediatr Dent. 2018;19(4):271-6. doi: 10.23804/ejpd.2018.19.04.4.
- Zaleckienė V, Pečiulienė V, Brukienė V, Jakaitienė A, Aleksejūnienė J, Zaleckas L. Knowledge about traumatic dental injuries in the permanent dentition: a survey of Lithuanian dentists. Dent Traumatol. 2018;34(2):100-6. doi: 10.1111/edt.12388.
- de França RI, Traebert J, de Lacerda JT. Brazilian dentists' knowledge regarding immediate treatment of traumatic dental injuries. Dent Traumatol. 2007;23(5):287-90. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-9657.2006.00444.x.
- Kostopoulou MN, Duggal MS. A study into dentists' knowledge of the treatment of traumatic injuries to young permanent incisors. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2005;15(1):10-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-263X.2005.00588.x.
- Buldur B, Kapdan A. Factors associated with knowledge and attitude of management of traumatic dental injuries: a cross-sectional study among Turkish dentists. Pesqui Bras Odontopediatria Clin Integr. 2018;18(1):e3948. doi: 10.4034/ pboci.2018.181.30.
- Aydınoğlu S, Arslan İ, Demirez Z. Rize ilinde görev yapmakta olan diş hekimlerinin dental travma yönetimi konusunda bilgi, tutum ve davranışlarının değerlendirilmesi. 7tepe Klinik Dergisi. 2020;16(2):154-61. doi: 10.5505/ yeditepe.2020.77598.
- Akhlaghi N, Nourbakhsh N, Khademi A, Karimi L. General dental practitioners' knowledge about the emergency management of dental trauma. Iran Endod J. 2014;9(4):251-6.
- Yeng T, Parashos P. An investigation into dentists' management methods of dental trauma to maxillary permanent incisors in Victoria, Australia. Dent Traumatol. 2008;24(4):443-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-9657.2008.00609.x.

© 2023 The Author(s); Published by Kerman University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.